

Adoption of Yam Based-Cropping Systems in Rotation with Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize in the Guinea-Sudan Zone of Benin

Raphiou Maliki*, Brice Sinsin, Laurent Parrot, Jacques Lançon, Anne Floquet and NeBambi Lutaladio

*Corresponding author email id: malikird@yahoo.fr

Abstract – Slash-and-burn and shifting cultivation systems lead to the deforestation, soil degradation and depletion in Sub-Saharan Africa. Sedentary yam-based cropping systems with herbaceous legumes are promoted in Benin. Crop rotations with herbaceous legumes are of interest in Sub-Saharan Africa because improving the soil fertility where long-fallows is no longer feasible. This paper investigates the adoption of yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin. Results from logistic regression analysis suggest that contact with researchers and extension workers, population density in zone, credit service, gender, land, farm household and livestock sizes were identified as major discriminating factors. The adoption was more significant in the area with denser population. It was also more significant in Research and Development sites. Women, who have little land rights, were more prone to adopt yam-based cropping with *Mucuna* than men. In addition, the study highlights advantages, constraints, adaptations of technology and implications for the international applications.

Keywords – Adaptation, Adoption; Constraints; Herbaceous Legume; Logit; *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis*; Yam.

I. INTRODUCTION

Yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) is a tuber crop that is widely cultivated in the humid and sub-humid lowland regions of West Africa and the Caribbean [1]. More than 90% of world yam production (40 million metric tons of fresh tubers/year) comes from West Africa [2] and especially from the countries located between Ivory Coast and Nigeria [3]. Traditionally, yam is cultivated as a pioneer crop after forest or after a long fallow. In these conditions, it yields about 10 metric tons of fresh tuber/hectare/year [4]. However, the potential yield of *D. alata*, one of the most important species, can reach 60 to 75 metric tons per hectare and per year [5]-[6].

Benin is the world's fourth ranking producer, after Nigeria, Cote d'Ivoire, and Ghana. Yam production increased from 1 285 858 tons on an estimated 117 255 ha harvested area in 1995 to 1 802 944 tons in 2008 on 204 683 ha [7]. This 40% increase in production was obtained thanks to a 74% increase in land under yam, indicating that intensification was limited. Smallholder farmers grow yam. Nowadays in Benin, it is impossible for farmers to practice long fallow periods and yam is cultivated in 1 or 2-year herbaceous fallow-yam or maize-yam rotations with manual incorporation of residues into the soil.

With the aim of designing more sustainable yam cropping systems, the agronomic research organization in

Benin implemented alternative systems including herbaceous legumes (*Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis*). On the basis of the results of this on-farm research, yam based systems including herbaceous legume with *Mucuna* were diffused.

Very few practical results are currently available on sedentary yam-based cropping systems with herbaceous legumes in western Africa. However, in the past decade, the beneficial effect of *Mucuna* as a green manure and as a short-duration fallow has been intensively demonstrated in West Africa, on maize for example [8]-[9]. Legumes improve soil fertility thanks to their ability to fix N₂ and to produce N-rich residues that can be returned to the soil [10]. Nitrogen fixation can help provide a growing population with a nutritious, environmentally friendly, sustainable food supply. In Benin, preliminary studies revealed a positive effect of herbaceous legumes on yam yield and an income increase for smallholders [11]-[12].

With the aim of designing more sustainable yam cropping systems, the agronomic research organization in Benin implemented alternative systems including herbaceous legumes (*Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis*). On the basis of the results of this on-farm research, yam-based systems in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize were diffused in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin. However, studies on the adoption of the technology in a Small-Scale Food Production System are lacking.

It is generally agreed that farmers adopt an innovation if they expect it to contribute to better achieving their goals with, which may include economic, social and environmental aspects, while considering risk-related issues at the same time [13]-[14]. In this paper, we intend to identify factors that may explain the adoption of yam-based systems that use herbaceous legume with *Mucuna* for soil conservation and sustainable yam production. We explore several demographic or institutional factors in view of providing relevant pointers for more effective and efficient public policies as regards the dissemination of yam-based systems including the mixed intercropping *Mucuna* and maize for sustainable production. In section 1, we present the study area in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin, the yam-based systems recommended to farmers and the process of diffusion and adoption implemented. In section 2 we describe the survey methodology and adoption model used for assessment. In sections 3 and 4, results are exposed and discussed.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Study Area

The study was carried out in the Guinea-Sudan transition zone of Benin in a low (Savalou, Bante, Save and Ouesse) and in denser population zone (Dassa-Zoume, Glazoue). This area lies between the latitudes 7°45' and 8°40' North and longitudes 2°20' and 2°35' East. The climate is the transitional climatic Guinea-Sudan type with a gradient from bimodal to monomodal rainfall distribution from the south and the north of Benin respectively. Annual rainfall in the study area varies from 1,100 mm to 1,200 mm with unequal distribution [15].

The soils are plinthosols and luvisols. The soil physical properties vary according to their clay content [16]. Vegetation is a degraded woody savannah type. Maize, yam, cassava and groundnut are annual cropping systems and the cash crops are cotton and soybean.

B. Yam-Based Systems in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize

The itinerary of the yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize (TMM) is as follows: smallholder farmers planted maize (spacing 80 × 40 cm) in April of the first year. *M. pruriens* seeds (25 kg ha⁻¹) were sown at (spacing 80 × 40 cm) in May six weeks after the maize. In the improved yam-based system (TMM), smallholder farmers applied or no on maize 100 kg ha⁻¹ NPK fertilizer (14% N, 10% P, 11.7% K) in April and 50 kg ha⁻¹ urea (46% N) in June. The maize was harvested in July. The grainless *M. pruriens* crops were mowed 140 days after planting. Organic matter was incorporated in mounds in October, and then yam was planted directly on these mounds, without mineral fertilization.

As part as chemical fertilizers effect is concerned, smallholders fertilize the maize they grow on depleted soils, depending on the availability of cash and inputs. However, they avoid using fertilizers on yam because it is “presumed to have a negative effect” on the quality of pounded yam [17]. Nevertheless, in yam-based cropping systems with herbaceous legumes, the mineral fertilizer is applied on the preceding crop (maize) and yam could profit from the residual effect. In fact, there is often a problem of NPK equilibrium for tuber production: too much nitrogen and not enough K, resulting in tubers with too high water content, with consequences on tuber conservation and on fufu preparation.

C. Process of Diffusion and Adoption of Innovations

Herbaceous legume such as *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* was introduced in 1990 in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin to improve farming systems. The technology was transferred to smallholder farmers by way of participatory training, farmer field schools (FFS) and visits in close partnership with extension workers. The results of research are presented in the framework of village restitution. Successful outcomes observed by each farmer in a particular field help to increase participation by the remaining farmers. Field training and visits are organized to document different points of view by farmers, extension workers and researchers. A scientific workshop is

organized for researchers from the National Agricultural Research System (SNRA), who present and discuss the results of their research [18]. Major research findings are communicated to the Regional Research and Development Committee (CRRD), the main link in the agricultural research management cycle in Benin. Some 50% of farmers and end users of the technologies are members of the CRRD, the remaining 50% being researchers, intermediate users such as the Regional Centre for Agricultural Development (CERPA), NGOs, development partners and political decision makers. The research results are presented in simple and accessible form during the forum and are discussed with the participation of farmers. The CRRD forum can transfer the best and most affordable results in terms of technical and economic performance to extension services for widespread promotion of the adopted technologies. After fine-tuning of the technologies, a manual is drafted in a multi-field and multi institutional approach in collaboration with farmers, researchers and the extension structures. The document proposes a technical road map with illustrations, comments and discussion of the economic issues. This technical and economic reference (RTE) was produced for farmers, agricultural professionals, extension workers and researchers for the dissemination and promotion of the technology.

D. Theoretical Model of Adoption

The dependent variable, adoption of soil conservation innovation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize for subsequent yam, is dichotomized by assigning a value of one if a farmer is an adopter and zero otherwise. Because the dependent variable is dichotomous, the regression is non-linear in form and ordinary least squares will not provide useful estimators [19]. Instead a dichotomous logistic model technique is used to regress adoption on a set of explanatory variables. Many studies have used the logistic analysis approach to examine similar issues in various geographical regions and for different technologies [20]-[21]-[22].

$$y_i^* = \beta' x_i + u_i \quad (1)$$

Where y_i^* unobservable variable, but determined by the dummy variable y defined by

$$y = 1 \text{ if } y_i^* > 0 \\ y = 0 \text{ otherwise} \quad (2)$$

From equations (1) and (2), the probability that $y = 1$ a particular farmer will adopt soil conservation innovations with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna* and maize for increasing yam productivity is:

$$\text{Prob}(y = 1) = \text{Prob}(u_i > -\beta' x_i) = -F(-\beta' x_i) \quad (3)$$

and the probability that $y = 0$ that the farmers will not adopt, is:

$$\text{Prob}(y = 0) = \text{Prob}(u_i < \beta' x_i) = F(\beta' x_i) \quad (4)$$

Where in equation (3) and (4), F is the cumulative distribution function. We assume that u is independent of X , the vector of characteristics associated with smallholder farmers, and has a standard logistic distribution [23], and β is the vector of estimated coefficients. A combination of

equations (1) and (2), yields the probability that a smallholder farmer will adopt the technology as:

$$1 - F(-\beta'x_i) = \frac{\exp(\beta'x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta'x_i)} = A(\beta'x_i) \quad (5)$$

and the likelihood [19] is:

$$L = \prod_{y_i \rightarrow 0} F(-\beta'x_i) \prod_{y_i \rightarrow 1} [1 - F(-\beta'x_i)] \quad (6)$$

The confidence interval is another goodness-of-fit measure. After estimating the β s, confidence intervals (CI), a statistical inference for the model parameters can be performed to help judge the magnitude of the significance [24]. A confidence interval only has the specified probability of containing the parameter if the sample data on which it is based is the only information available about the value of the parameter by using the formula in equation (7).

$$\beta \pm z_{\alpha/2} (ASE) \quad (7)$$

Where ASE is the asymptotic standard error and z is the $\alpha/2$ critical value from a t -distribution with (n/k) degrees of freedom.

The magnitude of changes in the probability of adoption as the regressor changes is best captured by the marginal effect, usually evaluated at its mean of the explanatory variable. Following [25], we denote the logistic cumulative function by $A(-)$ which is between zero and one. Substituting $A(-)$ as the standard logit in equation (3), we find the marginal effects of the regressors on the probability as:

$$A(1-A)\beta \quad (8)$$

The derivatives of the likelihood estimates of the coefficients yield the probability of being in one of the dichotomous groups, an adopter or non-adopter. This will give the measure of strength of response for the independent variables. However, the change in the probability can be expressed in percentage change by multiplying with 100.

The equation used to estimate the parameters is:

$$E(y_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 ZONE + \beta_2 SUDISP + \beta_3 GENDER + \beta_4 NBOUCH + \beta_5 FTRAV + \beta_6 ORIGIN + \beta_7 CONTACT + \beta_8 CREDIT + \beta_9 NIVELEV$$

Empirical Model of Adoption

The choice concerned the Research and Development (R & D) sites where the systems were generated and off R & D sites, implying certain heterogeneity in the agro-ecological, socioeconomic and cultural level [26]. Districts were located in the relatively high and low population density zones (Table 1).

Table 1. Sampling size for individual survey in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin

Zone	Site	Commune	Household sampling size	Percentage per site (%)
Low population density zone	R & D site	Ouesse	36	12
		Save	21	
	Off R & D site	Ouesse	40	49
		Savalou	39	
		Bante	50	
Relatively high population density zone	R-D site	Dassa	20	13
		Glazoue	19	
	Off R & D	Dassa	41	

Zone	Site	Commune	Household sampling size	Percentage per site (%)
	site	Glazoue	40	26
Total			306	100

Legend: N: Sampling size of farm households for the survey; R & D site: Research and Development site where yam based-systems with herbaceous legumes were promoted; Off R & D site.

To establish the base of the survey, the results from the "third population census" [26] and other relevant documents were analyzed [27]. Statistical data concerning the yam smallholder farmers from several villages in the "Collines Department" were also used. Total sample of 306 farm households in six districts of the "Collines Department" in the study area were surveyed including 25% and 75% of the sample for the R & D and off R & D sites respectively. In each village, sampling was conducted along a transect that accounted for agro-ecological diversity with the aim of including maximum possible of variability. This made it possible to calculate the total number of yam smallholders in the study area and the number of yam smallholder farmers in each site or village for the survey.

Twenty-seven villages in the "Collines Department" with low and relatively high population densities were surveyed including adopters and non-adopters (never adopted and disadopter) of yam-based cropping system in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna* and maize.

A checklist was used for the socio-economic investigations and data collection at the level of the farm household.

Fifty explanatory variables were considered for the study. Out of fifty explanatory variables, nine independent variables more meaningful were selected with the correlation analysis and included in the model. ZONE (population density in zone), SUPDISP (land availability), GENDER (sex), NBOUCH (Farm household size), FTRAV (labour availability), ORIGIN (owner status: indigenous or immigrant), CONTACT (contacts with external services for advice), CREDIT (formal financial credit), NIVELEV (livestock size).

Justifications, methods, and predicted signs for each independent variable in the models are discussed (Table 2).

The variable ZONE expresses the geographical diversity of social, cultural and ecological constraints linked with demography, land pressure and, as a consequence, soil degradation [28]-[29]. In zones where soils are degraded, smallholders could be more inclined to adopt new systems. This variable takes value 1 in denser population zone and 0 otherwise. SUPDISP is the land availability for yam cropping systems. Land security is useful for the soil fertility investment. Land availability could lead farmers to clear new woody fallow for yam production (traditional shifting cultivation system) versus improved yam-based systems in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna* and maize. A negative sign is expected for this variable. GENDER is the variable sex. Many studies were carried out on gender analysis about new technologies [30]-[31]-[32]-[33]. Generally, unfavourable land status attributed

for women could be prejudicial for adoption of innovative technologies. GENDER is a dummy variable which takes value 1 for men and 0 otherwise. NBOUCH is the farm household size supposed positively correlated to the adoption of new technologies. FTRAV is the variable relative to household labour availability. Importance of labour for adoption of new technologies is reported [34]-[35]. This variable is expected to influence positively adoption of improved yam-based systems. ORIGIN is the farm owner social status. We supposed that indigenous farmers will be more prone to adopt improved yam-based system than immigrant. In fact, the loan is particularly practiced by immigrants in the study area which is unfavourable for long term soil fertility investment [36]. This variable takes value 1 if the owner is indigenous and 0 otherwise. CONTACT identifies farmers who have connections and dealings with the external agents (researcher, extension agent). It is an important variable for diffusion and adoption of innovations [37]-[38]. This variable could influence positively the adoption of improved yam-based systems in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna* and maize. The variable takes value 1 if the smallholder farmer ties contacts with the external structures (at least one contact per month), and 0 otherwise. CREDIT expresses the access to the formal credit. The use of innovative yam-based system requires inputs purchase (seeds or seedlings and mineral fertilizers for maize). Difficulties of cash limit farmers' investments [31]-[37]. Access to credit can raise this constraint and support adoption. This variable takes value 1 if the farmer obtains formal credit and 0 otherwise. NIVELEV is the livestock size (sheep, goat and cow). The natural pasture is the endogenous practice for providing forage to ruminants. In crop/livestock integration system, herbaceous legumes established in dynamic of rotation can provide forage for animal feeding, and the field is useful for subsequent crops as yam. This variable is supposed to influence positively the adoption of improved yam-based system.

SPSS was used for the descriptive analysis and correlation of the independent variables. LIMDEP version 7.0 was used for the empirical model [34].

III. RESULTS

A. Multivariate Analysis of Adoption of Yam-Based System in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize

Adoption of yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize (TMM) was 34% (Table 3). The percentage of adopter's women was 9% whereas 25% were recorded for men that fit with the size sample investigated (54 women against 252 men). The models had rather good predictive and estimated properties. The χ^2 test was highly significant ($p < 0.01$). The percentage of correct prediction was high (77%).

Results of Student's test revealed that seven variables determined the adoption of TMM: "CONTACT" with external agents, population density in "ZONE",

"CREDIT", GENDER, SUPDISP, NBOUCH and NIVELEV.

The rate of adoption of TMM was higher in the relatively high population density zone (20%) than in the low-density zone (14%). This was also higher in the Research and Development (R & D) sites (18%), where smallholder farmers had much more in contact with research and extension than in the other sites (16%) (Table 4).

B. Characteristics of Adopters and Non-Adopters of Yam-Based System in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize

Adopters profile is as follows: to be man or woman, young person or adult, to be farm households' head and having experience in agriculture around 22 years with an households size of 7, to have the right of ownership on the land, to be educated (at least 6 years of formal education), to have regular contacts with the changes agents (at least one contact per month), to have access to information, to be trained on new technologies, to have access to credit and to belong to a village organization.

Globally, soil depletion problem was expressed as well as by adopters and non-adopters. Land is available and allocated to crops with more surfaces attributed for cereal (maize) and grain legumes (groundnut and beans). Livestock size of adopters was higher as well as in R & D and off R & D sites. The woody for combustion and fodder for animal feeding were available in farm household.

Table 2. Statistical description of adoption factors in the study zone in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin (n = 306 farm household surveyed)

Acronym of variable	Description of variable and value	Mean	Standard deviation
ZONE	Demographic zone/population density (1 = yes ; 0 = no)	0.39	0.49
SUPDISP	Household land surface available per ha	8.25	7.61
SEXE/ GENDER	Male or female (1 = yes; 0 = no)	0.82	0.38
NBOUCH	Household' size	7.63	4.88
FTRAV	Household' labor size	2.66	2.39
ORIGIN	Owner status: indigenous or immigrant (1 = yes ; 0 = no)	0.83	0.38
CONTACT	Contact with the change agents (1 = yes; 0 = no)	0.59	0.49
CREDIT	Access to credit (1 = yes ; 0 = no)	0.06	0.24
NIVELEV	Livestock size (sheep, goat and cow)	4.53	12.05

Legend: ZONE: Population density in zone; SUPDISP: Land surface available in farm household, GENDER: Sex (male or female) of the smallholder farmer; NBOUCH: Farm household size; FTRAV labour force within the households; ORIGIN: Owner status (indigenous or immigrant); CONTACT: Smallholder farmers' contacts with the research or extension workers; CREDIT: Formal financial credit for inputs; NIVELEV: Livestock size.

Table 3. Econometric model of the discriminating factors affecting adoption of yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin

Acronym of variable	Estimated β	T test
ZONE	0.244	4.792***
SUPDISP	0.009	2.836**
GENDER	-0.179	-3.294***
NBOUCH	0.011	2.284**
FTRAV	-0.012	-1.146
ORIGIN	-0.032	-0.55
CONTACT	0.382	7.868***
CREDIT	0.353	3.544***
NIVELEV	0.003	1.948**
Log-probabilities	-143.08	
χ^2	104.74***	
% of prediction	77	

Legend: **p<0.05 and ***p<0.01 represent significant level at 5% and 1% respectively; β is the vector of estimated coefficient for each independent variable.

ZONE: Population density in zone; SUPDISP: Land surface available in farm household, GENDER: Sex (male or female) of the smallholder farmer; NBOUCH: Farm household size; FTRAV labour force within the households; ORIGIN: Owner status (indigenous or

immigrant); CONTACT: Smallholder farmers' contacts with the research or extension workers; CREDIT: Formal financial credit for inputs; NIVELEV: Livestock size.

C. Advantages and Constraints of Yam in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping Mucuna pruriens var utilis and Maize in the Guinea-Sudan Zone of Benin

Mucuna was appreciated for its capacity to restore the soil fertility, to maintain soil humidity and to control weeds as well in low (ZB) as in relatively high population density (ZA) zones. *Mucuna* in intercropping with maize increased yam yield and supplemented the animal feeding according to smallholder farmers' viewpoints. However, some constraints limit the adoption of yam-based system with *Mucuna*. Biomass incorporation difficulty in yam-based technology with *Mucuna* (TMM) was the first constraint reported with 43% and 67% respectively in the ZA and ZB, followed by the problem of competition between *Mucuna* and the pattern crop with 25% (ZA) versus 9% (ZB). Smallholders highlighted the problem of *Mucuna* seeds edibility with 18% and 19% and damages caused by the animal (cattle) divagation (16% and 17%) in ZA and ZB respectively (Table 5).

Table 4. Adoption and non-adoption rates of yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize as part of site and population density in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin

Site type	Population density	Adoption type	Categories	Number of smallholder farmers surveyed	Rate of adopters (%)	Rate of non adopters (%)	Rate of adoption on R-D sites (%)	Rate of adoption on off R-D sites (%)	Rate of adoption in denser population (%)	Rate of adoption in low population density (%)
R-D sites	Denser population	Adopter	Adopter women	8	3		3		3	
			Adopter men	25	8		8		8	
		Non-adopter	Never adopted	6		2				
	Low population density	Adopter	Adopter women	7	2		2			2
			Adopter men	20	7		7			7
		Non-adopter	Never adopted	12		4				
Off R-D sites	Denser population	Adopter	Adopter women	8	3			3		3
			Adopter men	17	6			6		6
		Non-adopter	Never adopted	47		15				
	Low population density	Adopter	Adopter women	3	1			1		1
			Adopter men	15	5			5		5
		Non-adopter	Never adopted	131		43				
		Disadopter	0		0					
Total				306	34	66	20	14	19	15

R-D sites: Research-Development sites; off R-D sites : Off Research-Development sites

Table 5. Constraints of adoption of yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin (Farm household level)

Constraints	ZA (N=186)		ZB (N=120)		Frequency	Percentage (%)	OI
	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Frequency	Percentage (%)			
Biomass incorporation	80/186	43	80/120	67	160/306	52	1
Competition	47/186	25	11/120	9	58/306	19	2
Seeds consumption	33/186	18	23/120	19	56/306	18	3
Animal divagation	30/186	16	20/120	17	50/306	16	4
Reptile refuge	9/186	5	11/120	9	20/306	7	5
Fertilizer cost	9/186	5	8/120	7	17/306	6	6
Plot maintenance	9/186	5	-	-	9/306	3	7
Vegetation burn	-	-	5/120	4	5/306	2	8
Seeds marketing	4/186	2	-	-	4/306	1	9

N = sampling size; ZA: relatively high population density zone; ZB: low population density zone; OI: importance order

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Adoption of Yam-Based System in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize in Denser and Low Population Density Zone

Adoption of yam-based system in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize (TMM) is highly expressed in the zone with denser population compared to the low population density zone. In the denser zone, land pressure is relatively high with an increasingly scarcity of fertile soils for yam production, and smallholder farmers look relatively inclined to develop more sustainable and more productive systems. Most smallholder farmers could already appreciate the ability of *Mucuna* to increase yam productivity, maintain soil humidity, control weeds (*Imperata cylindrica* in particular) and restore soil fertility [8]-[40]. *Mucuna* stover showed the high dry matter (DM) amount whatever the year, and this can reach 10 t ha⁻¹ [8]. Because *Mucuna* grows rapidly and close. Generally, studies revealed that the incorporation of the biomass gets more nitrogen to the succeeding crop than the mulch application on the soil because the decomposition of organic matter is more rapid after incorporation. Decomposition data indicated that 6 weeks after incorporation of biomass only 30% of *Mucuna* remained [9]. This would be related to faster decomposition of *Mucuna* residue biomass and nutrients release for subsequent plants growth. Furthermore, *Mucuna* recycled macronutrients (N, P, K) with moderate rates of decomposition [41]. Legumes fallows with *Mucuna*, are known especially for improving the quantity of available P fractions in the soil for subsequent crops. Nevertheless, it depends on the inherent P levels in the soils. *Mucuna* transports P from lower soil layers and improves available P in arable surface that is crucial for yam. TMM significantly increased yam yields to about 15 t ha⁻¹ (fresh matter) in the study area. In Benin and Togo, [11] studied the effect on the yam crop of short fallows based on *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis*, *Aeschynomene histrix*, or *Pueraria phaseoloides*, and reported that a 1-year fallow planted with *M. pruriens* led to a significant increase in yam yields. Studies conducted by [28] in the Bamileke area, Cameroun, or [42] in the vicinity of Kano, Nigeria, corroborates the feeling that land scarcity is a major incentive to switch to intensive agriculture, as also stated in maize-based systems in Benin by Honlonkou [40]. In northern Benin, where the population density is

lower than 100 inhabitants per km², intensive agriculture is much less frequent than it is in the south where the population density frequently exceeds 200 inhabitants per km². However, study led by [23] on Agroforestry system in Cameroon showed that adoption is lower for farmers in areas with very high population pressure. Because alley cropping requires that farmers set aside a part of their land for growing trees, the cultivable areas under food crops have to be reduced. Smallholder farmers in villages where land pressure is very high may view tree planting as competing with food crops, thus reducing incentives to adopt alley cropping. [43] Found that farmers facing very high land pressure and exhausted fields opted for short fallow with *Mucuna* as a soil fertility management option instead of alley cropping.

When land use intensity becomes so high that many smallholders can only cultivate one plot, even cover crops are difficult to adopt, as observed in southeastern Benin [4], and in Honduras [33]. This is not yet the case in the study area.

B. Adoption of Yam-Based System in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize in the Research and Development and off Research and Development Sites

The highest adoption in the Research and Development (R & D) sites than off Research and Development sites (off R & D) was probably due to more frequent interactions between smallholder farmers and the R & D research team through demonstration plots, training and field visits, village meetings at which results of research were presented showing the advantages of innovations based on a specific farmer's field, information exchange between farmers and knowledge production [12]. Contacts are especially helpful in the early stages of technology experimentation, where technology abandonment rates are usually higher [44]-[43]. [45] Argued that a landholder's probability of making a good decision increases over time with increasing knowledge of, and perhaps experience with, the practice or technology. They report that land managers go through a typical sequence of acquiring and applying new knowledge, beginning with becoming aware of the technology or innovation. The land managers progress through a (non-trial) evaluation stage, where they collect information and begin to assess its usefulness in their own context, followed by a stage of small-scale trials. And then, if this is successful, adoption, review and modification and finally either fully adopting or

abandoning the innovation. It has also been shown that land managers who participate in property management planning activities have a greater capacity to adopt more sustainable land management practices, indicating a link between knowledge and skills, and the capacity to change [45].

The formal credit supports inputs and could be an additional benefit for adoption of innovative yam-based systems as well as on R & D and off R & D sites. Smallholder farmers in majority were often in prone to financial difficulties because of their low incomes and purchasing power. This situation limited inputs acquisition (equipments, mineral fertilizers, seeds...). Past research indicated that financial constraints were self-reported by land managers as an important barrier to the adoption of changed management practices [46]-[47]-[48]-[49]-[14]-[50]. For example, a study of land manager attitudes in the Burdekin Dry Tropics region in northern Queensland found that operational and financial constraints were perceived as the most important impediments to the adoption of natural resource management activities or changed practices [14]. Similar surveys in the Burnett Mary and Queensland Murray Darling regions found that 76% and 81% of respondents respectively identified cash flow as the highest rated constraint. But nevertheless, studies showed that financial resources may not be the main limiting factor when land managers consider adopting new technologies or changed practices. For example, [51] suggested that where it can be shown that farm family income exceeds \$50,000 per year then the constraints for undertaking changed practices were not necessarily financial. One suggestion is that land managers may be constrained by the perception that improved practices provide greater benefit to society at large than to the individual.

C. Adoption of Yam-Based System in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize According to the Gender

The negative sign of the β parameters estimated for the variable gender shows that the women have much more preference for yam-based system in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize than the men. Women who have more insecure land rights are more prone to adopt TMM than men. This situation was observed on R & D sites and should be related to external structures effects through contacts, trainings and advices. In addition, the effect of herbaceous legumes (*Mucuna*) should be expressed in short-term period with low labour requirement and generate immediate incomes for farm household. On the contrary, [23] former work showed that male farmers are more likely to adopt than women agroforestry in Cameroon. The authors argue that sustainable agricultural systems targeted to women farmers must be compatible with their land and tree tenure. Where women do not have secure rights to land, technologies which require that land be put under trees for a long period of time are unlikely to be adopted by women farmers. In such areas, short season improved fallow systems may be more appropriate for women farmers. Yam-based systems with herbaceous legumes will bring a

higher present value than traditional systems (maize or 1-year *Andropogon gayanus* fallow–yam) in the first 4 years and appeared attractive for land, labour and cash productivities [41].

D. Adaptations of Yam-Based System in Rotation with the Mixed Intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and Maize and Implications for the International Applications

For the important constraint related to the biomass incorporation, smallholder farmers adapted the technology with the practice of both mulching and biomass incorporation into the soil. Three-quarters of biomass could be manually incorporated into the soil in October–November during ridging, and the remaining biomass could be left on the surface as mulch in order to reduce workloads related to the incorporation. The practice of fire wall and fire of reference around the plot was necessary to avoid the burning of the mulch in dry periods. The mulch will contribute to protect seed yam from solar radiations, to improve soil humidity and earthworms' activity. Nevertheless, the seed production plot is needed in order to induce plant material availability.

End-users would undoubtedly adopt yam-based technologies with *Mucuna* if there was a market for the flow of seeds. The farmers assured about the marketing of their products would increase adoption. The existence of a market contributes to the adoption of improved technologies for high yields and farm household incomes [52]-[53].

Mucuna seeds valorisation would generate additional incomes for smallholders' households. In fact, the seeds of *Mucuna* contains 3-(3, 4-dihydroxyphenyl)-L-alanine, known as L-Dopa which makes difficult *Mucuna* grains consumption. Human consumption of unprocessed beans can cause intoxication, but the toxins can be removed by boiling and soaking the seeds in several changes of water [54]. The L-Dopa content of *Mucuna* ranges from 4.7 to 6.4% [43]. The end-users would undoubtedly grant more credit to yam-based technologies with *Mucuna*, if in addition to its fertilizing role, the seeds were edible. In spite of the research undertaken by several organisations on this issue, *Mucuna* grain consumption remained difficult [55].

Animal feeding with *Mucuna* seeds deserves to be further investigated. The crop-livestock integration with this herbaceous legume should be an opportunity for yam production or other crops in dynamic of rotations, because of agropastoral potential in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin. Integration of forage legumes into the traditional fallow management can help improving both forage supply at a time of feed scarcity and soil fertility. Corraling contracts in the fence-based cropping systems with forage legumes inside the fence are important form of crop-livestock interaction during the dry season and could contribute to manures supply and nutrient cycling for the benefit of crops and soil. This practice returns both manure and urine to soil and can conserve nutrients.

A detailed attention deserves to be given to the technical, institutional and political problems facing end-users and more information, as well as advices are

required in order to improve the level of adoption of yam-based technologies with herbaceous legumes.

The application of this technology will allow to natural resources better preserved, chemical pollution of the environment to be reduced and agricultural production to be diversified. Furthermore, this could contribute to plant biodiversity to be better conserved, bio fuel to be promoted, access of smallholders to land resources to be improved, as well as their capacity to manage these resources. This influence may be strengthened by suitable organizational arrangements to popularize adapted technologies, involving researchers, extension workers and farmers.

V. CONCLUSION

The study highlights that seven main factors determine adoption of yam in rotation with the mixed intercropping *Mucuna pruriens* var *utilis* and maize in the Guinea-Sudan zone of Benin. Contact with researchers and extension workers, population density zone, credit service, gender, land availability, farm household size and livestock size were identified as major discriminating factors for adoption. Adoption was more significant in the area with denser population. It was also more significant in Research and Development sites. Formal financial credit for inputs is significant for smallholder farmers and supports adoption. Women were more prone to adopt the yam-based system with *Mucuna* than men. Although this system is currently not yet widely used by smallholders, we predict that it will be adopted as soon as land pressure increases. We then propose to promote durable and replicable yam-based system, through a favourable legislative, economic and political environment to support local initiatives. Collaborations between research, development and extension structures should also be favoured to support the development and dissemination of innovations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their sincere appreciation for the financial support received from the French Agency for Development (AFD) in the framework of the Support Project for Farm Household development (PADSE), the Development Project for Roots and Tubers (PDRT), the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO) in the framework of project TCP/BEN 3002 (A) "Sustainable production of yams adapted to the markets", the International Centre for Cooperation in Agronomic Research for Development (CIRAD), the Cooperation Project for Academic and Scientific Research (CORUS) and the French Embassy in Benin

REFERENCES

[1] I.C. Onwueme, and J.A. Haverkort, "Modelling growth and productivity of yams (*Dioscorea* spp.): Prospects and problems," 1991, *Agricultural Systems* 36, 351-367.

[2] FAOSTAT, "<http://apps.fao.org> (accessed on February 13th 2009)".

[3] L.S. Lev. and A.L. Shriver, "A trend analysis of yam production, area, yield, and trade (1961-1996). In ` Acts of the International Seminar on yam, "1997, Montpellier, France.Eds., J. Berthaud, N. Bricas, and J.L. Marchand, " 1997, CIRAD, INRA, ORSTOM, CORAF, Montpellier (France), pp. 11-20.

[4] R.J. Carsky, N. Wolo, V.M. Manyong, and G. Tian, "Understanding soil factors limiting the potential yield of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.). Proceedings of the 7th Triennial Symposium of the International Society for Tropical Root Crops-Africa Branch," 2001, Cotonou, Benin. Eds., Akoroda M.O. and J.M. Ngeve, pp 198-209.

[5] F.W. Martin, "Tropical yams and their potential. Part 3. *Dioscorea alata*. Washington," 1974, USDA, Agriculture Handbook Nr. 495. 39 p.

[6] C. Zinsou, "Physiology and morphogenesis of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.).In ` Acts of the International Seminar on yam, "1997, Montpellier, France.Eds., J. Berthaud, N. Bricas, and J.L. Marchand, pp 213-222.

[7] FAOSTAT, "Crop data. [On-line] [2011-05-15] <URL: <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/default.aspx#ancor>>" 2010.

[8] R.J. Carsky, S.A. Tarawali, M. Becker, D. Chicoye, G. Tian, and N. Sauplaga, "*Mucuna*-herbaceous cover legume with potential for multiple use," 1998, IITA. *Res. Crop. Manag. Resea. Monog. N° 25*, 52 pp.

[9] S. Adjei-Nsiah, T.W. Kuyper, C. Leeuwis, M.K. Abekoe, and K.E. Giller, "Evaluating sustainable and profitable cropping sequences with cassava and four legume crops: Effects on soil fertility and maize yields in the forest/savannah transitional agro-ecological zone of Ghana," 2007, *Field Crops Research* 103 (2007) 87-97.

[10] K.E. Giller, "Nitrogen Fixation in Tropical Cropping Systems," 2001, 2nd ed. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

[11] P.K. Sodjadan, A.M. Toukourou, R.J. Carsky, and P. Vernier, "Effects of the preceding cover plants on yam production in the savannah zone of Benin and Togo," 2005, *African newspaper of root and tuber crops*, 6 (1): 34-40.

[12] R. Maliki, "Sedentary yam-based cropping systems and sustainable natural resources management in the central region of Benin: participatory development, constraints, adoption and diffusion of technologies," 2006, Master thesis, University of Abomey-Calavi, 312 p.

[13] M.R.J. Battershill, and A.W. Gilg, "Socio-economic constraints and environmentally friendly farming in the south-west of England," 1997, *Journal of Rural Studies* 13 (2), 213-228.

[14] R. Greiner, L. Patterson and O. Miller, 2008. "Motivations, risk perceptions and adoption of conservation practices by farmers. *Agricultural systems* (in press), "2008.

[15] A. Zannou, "Socio-economic, agronomic and molecular analysis of yam and cowpea diversity in the Guinea-Sudan transition zone of Benin," 2006, PhD Thesis, Wageningen University, 246 pp

[16] V. Agossou, and I. Mouinou, "Soil characterization of the Research-development sites of the CRA-Centre (Agricultural Research Center): classification in world reference and actualization index of their degradation level, " 2002, Scientific Workshop in Central part of Benin (First edition) 18 to 19 December 2002, CRA-Centre/ INRAB/MAEP, Benin, 15 p.

[17] P. Vernier, and R.A. Dossou, "An example of sedentarization of yam cultivation: The case of Kokoro varieties in the Republic of Benin. National workshop on sustainable development of yam production and consumption in Cote d'Ivoire, 2001-10-23/2001-10-26," 2003, Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *African Agronomy*, 15 (4, nu): 187-196.

[18] D. Arodokoun, A.M. Matthes, B. Agbo, P. Akondé, M. Adomou, H. Hodonou, G. Agbahungba and J. Sagbohan, "Handbook of the agricultural research management cycle in Benin.MAEP," 2004, Cotonou, 103 p.

[19] G.S. Maddala, "Limited Dependent and Quantitative Variables in Econometrics. *Econometric Society*" 1983, Monographs 3, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[20] J.R.R. Alavalapati, M.K. Luckert, D.S. Gill, "Adoption of agroforestry practices: a case study from Andhra Pradesh, India," 1995, *Agroforestry Systems*, 32, 1-14.

[21] E.T. Ayuk, "Adoption of agroforestry technology: the case of live hedges in central plateau of Burkina Faso," 1997, *Agricultural Systems*, 54, 189-206.

- [22] A.A. Adesina, D. Mbila, G.B. Nkamleu, and D. Endamana, "Econometric analysis of the determinants of adoption of alley farming by farmers in the forest zone of southwest Cameroon," 2000, *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 80 (2000) 255–265.
- [23] J.M. Wooldridge, "Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach," 2000, South-Western College Publishing.
- [24] A. Agresti, "An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis," 1996, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [25] W.H. Greene, "Econometric Analysis," 2000, Prentice Hall, Upper saddle River, New Jersey.
- [26] Rgph3, "Book of the villages and districts of city: department of the hills," 2004, MPPD/INSAE, Cotonou, Benin.
- [27] Lares, "Inter-community problems in the operation of districts," 2001, LARES, Cotonou, Benin, 253p.
- [28] C. Dongmo, "Farm land space use and development in tropical Africa: farmers' perception and technical rationality," 1978, Ouagadougou workshop 4–8 December 1978, Orstom.
- [29] L. Parrot, C. Dongmo, M. Ndoumbé and C. Poubom, "Horticulture, livelihoods, and urban transition in Africa: evidence from South-West Cameroon," 2008, *Agricultural Economics* 39, 245–256.
- [30] P. Bonnard, and S. Scherr, "Within gender differences in tree management: is gender distinction a reliable concept?," 1994, *Agroforestry Systems* 25, 71–93.
- [31] A.A. Adesina, O. Coulibaly, V.M. Manyong, P.C. Sanginga, D. Mbila, J. Chianu, and D.G. Kamleu, "Policy shifts and adoption of alley farming in West and Central Africa," 1999, IITA annual report, Ibadan, Nigeria, 50 pp.
- [32] L.A. Cameron, "The importance of learning in the adoption of high-yielding variety seeds," 1999, *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 81 (February), 83–94.
- [33] S. Neill, and D. Lee, "Explaining the adoption and disadoption of sustainable agriculture: the case of cover crops in northern Honduras," 2002, *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 49, 793–820.
- [34] R. Celis, and C. Holleman, "The effects of adopting technology on the household use of labor. In Adopting Improved Farm Technology. A Study of Smallholder Farmers in Eastern Province, Zambia," 1991, IFPRI, Washington, DC, Eds., Celis, R., J.T Milimo, and S. Wanmali.
- [35] C.M. Moser, and C.B. Barrett, "The disappointing adoption dynamics of a yield increasing, low external-input technology: the case of SRI in Madagascar," 2002, *Journal of Agricultural systems*, 76 (2003) 1085–1100
- [36] R. Maliki, F. Amadji, I. Adje, and C. Englehart, "Some options of the soil fertility management and the yields stabilization in the Savannah zone in central part of Benin: Constraints with their adoption," 2000, *Bulletin of the Agronomic research of Benin*, (28), 1-15.
- [37] P. Adégbola, and A. Arouna, "socio-economic determinants of the adoption of soil fertility management technologies in the Southern Benin: an analysis with a multinomial logit model," 2004, Communication in the national scientific workshop 2004, INRAB/MAEP, 9 p.
- [38] J.O. Saka, V.O. Okoruwa, B.O. Lawal, and S. Ajijola, "Adoption of Improved Rice Varieties among Small-Holder Farmers in South-Western Nigeria," 2005, *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 1 (1): 42-49.
- [39] H.W. Greene, "Limdep version 7.0, Manual. Econometric Software Incorporated," 1995, USA.
- [40] N.A. Honlonkou, "Economic impact of techniques of soil fertilization: Case of *Mucuna* fallow in south of Benin," 1999, Thesis in rural economy, CIRES, University of Cocody, Abidjan, Ivory Coast, 188 pp.
- [41] R. Maliki, M. Toukourou, B. Sinsin and P. Vernier, "Productivity of yam-based systems with herbaceous legumes and short fallows in the Guinea-Sudan transition zone of Benin," 2012, *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, Vol. 92, n°1, p. 9. DOI: 10.1007/s10705-011-9468-7.
- [42] Snrech, S., 1995. West african agriculture processing, evolution 1960-1990. challenge for future, implications for sahalian countries 39 p.
- [43] M.N. Versteeg, and V. Koudokpon, "Participative farmer testing of four low external input technologies, to address soil fertility decline in Mono province (Benin)," 1993, *Agric. Syst.* 42, 265–276.
- [44] A.N. Atta-Krah, and P.A. Francis, "The role of on-farm trials in the evaluation of composite technologies: the case of alley farming in southern Nigeria," 1987, *Agricultural Systems* 23, 133–152.
- [45] D.J. Pannell, G.R. Marshall, N. Barr, A. Curtis, F. Vanclay and R. Wilkinson, "Understanding and promoting adoption of conservation technologies by rural landholders," 2006, *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 46, 1407–1424.
- [46] T. Besley, and A. Case, "Modeling technology adoption in developing countries. *American*," 1993, *Economic Review* 83 (May), 396–402.
- [47] M. Zeller, "Determinants of credit rationing: a study of informal lenders and formal credit groups in Madagascar," 1994, *World Development* 22, 1895–1907
- [48] A. Foster, and M. Rosenzweig, "Learning By doing and learning from others: human capital and technical change in agriculture," 1995, *Journal of Political Economy*.
- [49] J. Cary, T. Webb, and N. Barr, "Understanding land managers' capacity to change to sustainable practices: insights about practice adoption and social capacity for change," 2002, Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra.
- [50] I. Byron, A. Curtis, and J. MacKay, "Providing social data to underpin catchment planning in the Queensland Murray-Darling region," 2004, Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra.
- [51] R. Rendell, P. O'Callagan and N. Clark, "*Families, Farming & the Future*," 1996, Agriculture Victoria, Bendigo.
- [52] E. Boserup, "Land use systems evolution and demographic pressure," 1970, Eds Flammarion, Paris.
- [53] V.E. Braun, and J. Kennedy, "Commercialization of subsistence agriculture: Income and nutritional effects in developing countries," 1986, In Binswanger H.P. and V.J. Braun.
- [54] D Kay, "Food legumes," 1979, Tropical Products Institute, London, UK. Crop and Product Digest No. 3. 435 pp.
- [55] M.N. Versteeg, F. Amadji, A. Eteka, A. Gogan, V. Koudokpon, "Farmers' adoptability of *Mucuna* fallowing and agroforestry technologies in the Coastal Savanna of Benin," 1998, *Agricultural Systems*. 56, 269–287.

AUTHOR'S PROFILE



Raphiou Maliki is an Agronomist, with a PhD in Natural Resource Management and Conservation in West Africa. He is Coordinator of Research and Development (R-D) in INRAB (National Agricultural Research Institute of Benin). Interim Director of CRA-Centre (Agricultural Research Center) of INRAB, and Administrative and Technical Chief of CRA-Centre.

ICRA Expert 1999 (International Center in Agricultural Research for Development), National consultant FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) of the Project TCP/BEN/3002 (A). Winner of The national Energy Globe Award Benin 2014 awarded to the project ("Solar Systems for Energy Self-Sufficient Households"), member of PAEPARD and CGIAR networks. Roots and Tubers Expert for the Green Innovations Center (CIVA) of AfricaRice/IITA Benin (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture). Worked in close relationship with CIRAD (International Centre for Cooperation in Agronomic Research for Development). Author of several articles in the Integrated Soil Fertility Management and Conservation, speaks French and English. Fields of research (agroforestry systems, sedentary-based cropping systems, cover cropping systems, organic/biological/ecological agriculture, cashew plant breeding and management systems, Agro pastoral conflicts prevention and management, Climatic Changes, Green/Renewable Energy, Value Chains Productivity).