

# Earthworm Biodiversity in Different Pedo-Ecosystems in Eastern Uttar Pradesh

S. N. Rai, Paras Nath

Department of Agriculture, College of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry,  
Koronivia Post Box-1777, Fiji National University, Fiji Islands  
Email: Sachchida.raai@fnu.ac.fj; paras.nath@fnu.ac.fj

**Abstract** – Researches have proved that the occurrence of different species of earthworms in good numbers is a positive sign of healthy soil. Establishment of earthworm population makes the soil more compact and the poor structure of deep soil changes in to friable top soil. Twenty two species of earthworms are identified from different pedo-ecosystems systems in eastern Uttar Pradesh. The potential soil reclaiming species are *Eutyphoeus incommodus*, *Eutyphoeus nicholsoni*, *Eutyphoeus waltoni*, *Octochaetona surensis*, *Amyntas morrissi*, *Metaphire posthuma* and *Lampito mauritii*. *Metaphire posthuma* is very abundant in garden soils. *Eutyphoeus nicholsoni* is mostly confined to garden litter soil with medium and low population as deep burrowing species. *Octochaetona surensis* is very common in dense bamboo plantations. *Amyntas morrissi* is mostly confined to decomposing paddy straw and composting litter. The cultivated soils of sugarcane and jowar, the species association index of *Eutyphoeus incommodus* and *Ramiella naniana* is very high though *Ramiella naniana* is purely a geophagous species.

Seeds (cocoon) of earthworms can easily be transported if they are properly packed in vials with water soaked filter paper. The seeds will not hatch out within 10 days from the date of their laying.

**Keywords** – Cocoon, Earthworms, Pedo-Ecosystems, Species, Friable Top Soil.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Earthworms are one of the most important fauna of agro-ecosystems and they dominate the biomass of invertebrates in many soils of temperate and tropical regions of the world. More than hundred years ago, Darwin (1881) realized the value of earthworms as a major contributor to the formation of stable structural aggregates in soil. The benefits are now globally realized that earthworms can contribute much to the management of different pedo-ecosystems. They are useful in land reclamation, soil improvement and organic waste management in addition to their use as a protein-rich source of animal feed. Earthworms eat and mix large amount of soil or in burrows, depending upon the species concerned. Their casts contains high concentration of organic material, silt, clay and cations such as iron, calcium magnesium and potassium. Earthworms also release nitrogen into soil in their casts and urine. Earthworms change the physical characteristics of soil by aerating during rain or irrigation. Earthworms thus enhance incorporation and decomposition of organic matter, increase soil aggregate, improve porosity and water infiltration and increase microbial activity. All these

studies are summarized by Edwards and Lofty (1977). However, more recently Edwards (1985), Baker *et.al.*, (1997), Singh (1997), Edwards (1998), Janardan Singh and S.N. Rai (1998) and Indrajeet *et.al.*, (1999) have emphasized on the qualitative index as well as on potentiality of earthworms.

During last two decades various efforts have been made to explore the taxonomy, biology, population dynamics, behavior, ecology, physiology, ecotoxicology and biotechnology of earthworms. The composition of different species of earthworms in different soils has been studied by number of workers (Satchell, 1983, Singh, 1997). Julka and Paliwal (1986) have given a vivid description on the distribution of earthworms in northern mountains, Indo-Gangetic plain and Deccan peninsula of India but not in Indo-Gangetic plain of eastern part of Uttar Pradesh.

In retrospection of the above views, the aim of the present study is to identify the common species of earthworms and to study their qualitative composition from different agro-ecosystem in eastern part of Uttar Pradesh.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Earthworms were collected from eastern part of Uttar Pradesh. The following procedures were employed for collection of earthworms from different sites and to study their qualitative composition.

### *Study Area:*

The specimens of earthworms and the soil samples were collected from the Indo-Gangetic plain of eastern Uttar Pradesh. The districts selected in this region for study were Varanasi, Mirzapur, Allahabad, Ghazipur and Ballia. The different pedo-ecosystems in these districts were taken into consideration for the study.

### *Sampling sites and sampling techniques:*

Samplings were done regularly from the garden soils, lawn soils, paddy fields, bamboo plantations, compost pits, sugarcane and jowar fields, decomposed paddy straw, kitchen channels and teak forests of Varanasi, Mirzapur, Allahabad, Ghazipur and Ballia districts.

The earthworm population were recorded with the help of a quadrat of 20X20 cm size. The soil was dug up to a depth of 20 cm. Soil samples were not dug beyond 20 cm depth as negligible number of worms were recorded beyond this zone. At a time 5 soil quadrat samples were dug and population of earthworms were sorted out. The earthworms were extracted by sieving and careful hand sorting. The sampled earthworms were thoroughly washed

in fresh water and preserved in bottles containing 5-10% formalin. These bottles were brought to the laboratory and the collected earthworms were identified.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In toto 22 species of earthworms belonging to 6 families were found from different pedo-ecosystems of Varanasi, Mirzapur, Allahabad, Ghazipur and Ballia districts of eastern Uttar Pradesh are listed in Table 1.

The species *Metaphire posthuma* was found most abundant in garden soil. Whereas, the species *Eutyphoeus incommodus* and *Eutyphoeus nicholsoni* were abundant in paddy field and garden soil. *Octochaetona surensis* was found abundant in bamboo plantation. *Ramiella bishambari* was found abundant in sugarcane and jowar field. The population of *Lampito mauritii* was found abundant in paddy field, compost pit, decomposed paddy straw and teak forest. *Metaphire posthuma* was found abundant in lawn soil and bamboo plantation. Whereas, the population of *Eutyphoeus incommodus* in garden soil, sugarcane and jowar field and decomposed paddy straw were found moderate. *Perionyx sansibaricus* was found moderate in garden soil and teak forest and *Thaonia sambalpurensis* was moderate in kitchen channel. The species *Drawida calebi* in garden soil and teak forest, *Allolobophora parva* in lawn soil, *Glyphridrilus* sp. in paddy soil, *Malabararia* sp. in garden soil, *Ocnerodrilus occidentalis* in kitchen channel, *Dichogaster bolau* in garden soil, lawn soil, paddy field, compost pit and kitchen channel, *Eutyphoeus incommodus* in compost pit, kitchen channel and teak forest, *Eutyphoeus waltoni* in garden soil, sugarcane and jowar field, kitchen channel and teak forest, *Lennogaster pusillus* in garden soil and kitchen channel, *Octochaetona surensis* in sugarcane and jowar field and teak forest. *Octochaetona beatrix* in garden soil, paddy field and bamboo plantation, *Pellogaster bengalensis* in garden soil and teak forest, *Ramiella bishambari* in sugarcane and jowar field and kitchen channel, *Ramiella naniana* in garden soil and paddy field, *Ramiella sundargarhensis* in lawn soil and teak forest, *Amyntas morrisoni* in garden soil and compost pit, *Amyntas diffringens* in garden soil, *Lampito mauritii* in sugarcane and jowar field and *Metaphire posthuma* in compost pit were nominally present.

In general *Metaphire posthuma*, *lampito mauritii*, *Eutyphoeus incommodus*, *Eutyphoeus nicholsoni*, *Octochaetona surensis*, *Dichogaster bolau*, *Ramiella naniana* were found very common species in Indo-Gangetic plain of eastern Uttar Pradesh. It is evident from Table 1 that maximum numbers of species in this part of the country belong to family Octochaetidae. Nakamura (1968) reported the higher number of earthworms in autumn in the grassland sites of Japan. Julka and Paliwal (1986) have reported that occurrence of very few species of earthworms in Indo-Gangetic plain. Ismail (1986) pointed out that the highest and largest number of earthworms in Chennai varied in different months with respect to different localities. Baker *et al.*, (1997) recorded the highest number of earthworms in cultivated sites in

month of August. Singh (1997) reported 11 species of earthworms from cultivated, non-cultivated grassland, garden and sewage sites from Uttar Pradesh. Singh and Rai (1998 and 2000) identified *Dichogaster bolau*, *Eutyphoeus incommodus* and *Lampito mauritii* as major potential species on the basis of their relative abundance in a variety of habitats. This is somewhat in agreement to the present findings which can also be substantiated with reports of Edwards and Lofty (1977), Senapati *et al.*, (1979), Ghabbour and Shakir (1982), Satchell (1983) and Lee (1992). Joshi and Swathi (2009) reported the diversity and distribution of earthworm from ecosystems from Uttarakhand. Muddaiah *et al.*, (2013) recorded distribution of earthworms of the family Octochaetidae from the different habitats from Karnataka.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Twenty two species of earthworms are identified from different pedo-ecosystems systems in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Nine of them were found potential soil reclaiming species. *Metaphire posthuma* is found abundant in garden soil, *Eutyphoeus nicholsoni* is confined to garden litter soil and is a deep burrowing species. *Octochaetona surensis* is found common in dense bamboo plantation, whereas, *Amyntas morrisoni* is mostly confined to decomposing litter. *Ramiella naniana* is purely a geophagous species. It concludes that earthworms may be found everywhere in the soil varies according to species and habitat.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is particularly grateful to Dr. J.M. Julka, Ex Scientist-SF and Officer-in-Charge, Zoological Survey of India, High Altitude Zoology Field Station, Solan for confirming the identification of different earthworm species.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B.K. Senapati, V.K. Mishra, V.K., V. Mishra, Earthworm distribution in pasture soils. *Geobios*. 6, 1979, pp. 28-29.
- [2] C. Darwin, *The formation of vegetable mould through the action of worms, with observations of their habits*, Murry, London, 1881, p. 298
- [3] C.A. Edward and J.R. Lofty, *Biology of earthworm*. Chapman and Hal, New York. 1977, 333 p.
- [4] C.A. Edward, *Biology and Ecology of earthworms*. Chapman and Hall, London. 1995, p. 426
- [5] C.A. Edward, The use of earthworms in breakdown and management of organic wastes. In : *Earthworm Ecology*, C.A. Edward (ed.), St. Luice Press, U.S.A. 1998, pp. 327-354.
- [6] G.H. Baker, T. Thumlert, L. Meisel, Carter, P.J. Carter and G.P. Kilpin "Earthworm down under". A survey of the earthworm fauna in urban and agricultural soils of Australia. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* (Speial Issue ISEE'5). 29(3/4), 1997, pp. 589-597.
- [7] Indrajeet, S.N. Rai and J. Singh, Studies on nutrient status of different vermicompost. *Pestology*, XXIII(12), 1999, pp. 23-30.
- [8] J. Singh and S.N. Rai, Earthworm farming and vermicomposting : A boon for sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Soil Biology and Ecology*. 17(1) 2000, pp. 65-72.

- [9] J. Singh, Habitat preferences of selected Indian earthworm species and their efficiency in reduction of organic materials. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* (Special Issue : ISEE'5). 29(3/4) 1997, pp. 585-588.
- [10] J.C.Y. Marinissen and F.V.D. Boch, Colonization of new habitats by earthworms. *Oecologia*. 91, 1992, pp. 371-376.
- [11] J.E. Satchell, (Ed.), *Earthworm Ecology : From Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall, London. 1983, p. 499
- [12] J.M. Julka and R. Paliwal, Distribution of Indian earthworms. In : *Proc. Nat. Sem. Org. Waste Utiliz. Vermicomp. Part B : Verms and Vermicomposting*, M.C. Dash, B.K. Senapati and P.C. Mishra (eds.) Five Star Printing Prss, Burla. 1986, pp. 16-22.
- [13] Janardan Singh and S.N. Rai, Industril vermicomposting for sustainable agriculture in India. *Indian farming*. 1998, pp 15-20&21.
- [14] K.E. Lee, K.E. *Earthworms : Their ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land Use*. Academic Press, New York. 1985, p. 411
- [15] N. Joshi and A.G. Swathi, Diversity and distribution in a subtropical forest ecosystems in Uttarakhand, India. *The Natural History Journal of Chulalongkorn University*, 53(1), 2009 pp. 13-33
- [16] S.A. Ismail, Earthworm resources of Madras. In : *Proc. Nat. Sem. Org. Waste Utiliz. Vermicomp. Part B : Verms and Vermicomposting*, M.C. Dash, B.K. Senapati and P.C. Mishra (eds.) Five Star Printing Prss, Burla. 1986, pp. 8-15.
- [17] S.I. Ghabbour and S.H. Shakir, Population density and biomass of earthworms in agro-ecosystems of the Meriut coastal desert region in Egypt. *Pedobiologia*. 23. 1982 pp. 189-198.
- [18] S.M.J. Stockdill, Effect of introduced earthworms on the productivity of New Zealand pastures. *Pedobiologia*. 24 1992, pp. 29-35.
- [19] Siddaraju Muddaiah, Kanale, S. Sreepada and N.P. Krishna, Recorded distribution of earthworms of the family Octochaetidae in Dakshina Kannada District, South West Coast, Karnataka. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publication*, 3(6), 2013 pp. 1-8
- [20] Y. Avesn Maruthi, Biodiversity of earthworms and its conservation in India. In: *Bioresource and Environment* (rds. G. tripathi and Y.C. Tripathi) Campus Book International, New Delhi, 2002, pp 317-321.
- [21] Y. Nakamura, Studies on the ecology of terrestrial oligochaeta. . Seasonal variation in the population density of earthworms in alluvial soil grassland in Sapporo, *Hakkaido Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 3, 1968, pp. 89-95

Table 1: Biodiversity of earthworm species in different pedo-ecosystems

Family/Species	Garden Soil	Lawn Soil	Paddy Field	Bamboo Plantation	Compost Pit	Sugarcane & Jowar	Decomposed Paddy Straw	Kitchen Channel	Teak Forest
<b>Family:Moniligastridae</b>									
<i>Drawida calebi</i>	+								+
<b>Family:Lumbricidae</b>									
<i>Allolobophora parva</i>		+							
<b>Family:Almidae</b>									
<i>Glyphridrilus</i> sp.			+						
<b>Family:Ocnerodrilidae</b>									
<i>Malabaria</i> sp.	+								++
<i>Ocnerodrilus occidentalis</i>								+	
<i>Thatonia sambalpurenensis</i>								++	
<b>Family:Octochaetidae</b>									
<i>Dichogaster bolau</i>	+	+	+		+			+	
<i>Eutyphoeus incommodus</i>	++		+++		+	++	++	+	+
<i>Eutyphoeus nicholsoni</i>	+++					+		+	++
<i>Eutyphoeus waltoni</i>	+							+	+
<i>Lannogaster pusillus</i>	+							+	
<i>Octochaetona surensis</i>				+++		+			+
<i>Octochaetona beatrix</i>	+		+	+					
<i>Pellogster bengalensis</i>	+								
<i>Ramiella bishambari</i>	++					+		+	
<i>Ramiella naniana</i>	+		+		+++				
<i>Ramiella sundargarhensis</i>		+						+	
<b>Family:Megascolecidae</b>									
<i>Amyntas morrissi</i>	+				+				
<i>Amyntas diffringens</i>	+								
<i>Lampito mauritii</i>			+++		+++	++	+++		+++
<i>Metaphire posthuma</i>	+++	+++		+++	+				
<i>Perionyx sansibaricus</i>	++							++	

+ - Present, ++ - Moderate, +++ - High

**AUTHOR'S PROFILE****Dr. S.N. Rai**

has more than 22 years of relevant research and teaching experience in India and Fiji while working with different aspect of agriculture such as integrated farming system, insect pests and mites and eco-friendly management of insect pests and mites infesting various agricultural crops, medicinal and ornamental plants. Dr. Rai has authored more than

sixty five research articles, three book chapters along with bulletin and pamphlets on mites. He worked as Principal Investigator in project and supervised M.Sc. students as Co-supervisor. Dr. Rai has also worked with soil arthropods including earthworms and have published more than 10 research papers and articles.

**Prof. Paras Nath**

has more than 34 years relevant research and teaching experience while working on different aspects of insect pests such as pest biology, ecology, eco – friendly management of insect pests infesting various crops such as sugarcane, cereals, pulses, vegetables, fruits and ornamental corps. Worked on economic injury levels, cost benefit ratio, forecasting models,

spatial and temporal distributions, assessment of climate change effects on pest incidence, census of insect biodiversity and analysis of data applying international methods and standards. Worked as Principal Investigator of 10 major Research Projects funded by different Government departments of India worth Rs. 2,50,000,00. Supervised the research work of 16 Ph.D. Research Scholars and 27 M. Sc. (Ag.) Ent. & Agril. Zoology students. Wide exposure in the field insect ecology, economic entomology and applied entomology.